Title I, Part A Improving the Academic Achievement of the Disadvantaged

Please follow these directions for determining **building or School level** evidence. See the <u>Title I, Part A Program Monitoring</u>: <u>Consolidated Program Review</u> webpage for more information and supports.

LEAs with enrollment of 5,000 students and under:

Provide evidence for two (2) schools:

- One (1) Targeted Assistance school (TAS) and one (1) Schoolwide Program (SWP) school with the highest per pupil expenditures (PPE).
- If only one program type, two schools with highest PPE.

LEAs with enrollment of 5,001 students and over:

- If LEA has both program model types, provide evidence for two (2) TAS and two (2) SWP with the highest PPE.
- If LEA has one program model type, provide evidence for three (3) schools with the highest PPE.

The Title I office may request additional documentation if needed.

Item	Description	Evidence	Determination	Actions Required	Comments
1.1	Ranking and Allocating	LEA Level	□ Compliant		
	Title I, Part A funds are used for	☐ A. Title I, Part A expenditure	☐ Action Plan Approved		
	eligible attendance areas and	report for <i>each</i> Title school	□ Evidence Needed		
	follow the ranking and allocation	served, showing only Title I	□ Noncompliant		
	rules. [ESSA Section 1113(a)(b)]	expenditures for the <i>prior school</i>	□ N/A		
		year. There should be a separate	□ N/A – Limited		
	Resources:	report submitted for each Title-			
	Ranking & Allocating Title I, Part	served school.			
	<u>A Funds.</u>				
		The reports must show:			
	<u>Spreadsheet</u> used to calculate	 Total Title I, Part A funds 			
	Ranking & Allocating	budgeted for each school.			
	Compliance.	 Total Title I, Part A 			
		expenditures for each school.			
	Risk Level: 2				
		Note: When running the report			
		(from WSIPC or other internal			
		system) please sort by Title I			
		expenses and location code.			
		Please label reports by school or			
		attach a location code key.			
		Examples:			
		Expenditure Report			

1.2	Title I, Part A Set-Asides	LEA and Building Level	□ Compliant	
	Charges to federal grants are	Expenditure report (or other	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	charged and documented	internal tracking document),	□ Evidence Needed	
	appropriately. [2 C.F.R. Part 200	separated by category/set-aside,	□ Noncompliant	
	Subpart E – Cost Principles]	for each of the following required	□ N/A	
	• Parent Engagement (1%, if	set-asides.	□ N/A – Limited	
	over \$500,000 allocation).	Set usides.	LI 14/70 Ellinted	
	[Section 1116(a)(3)]	The report must show the		
	Private schools (if applicable).	budgeted amounts, as well as the		
	[Section 1117(a)(4)]	total prior school year		
	Neglected & Delinquent	expenditures for each set-aside. In		
	students.	addition, include a document, or		
	[Section 1113 & Section 1115]	LEA chart of accounts, with		
	Homeless students.	account coding for Title I, Part A		
	[Section 1113 & Section 1115]	set-asides and locations.		
	[Section 1113 & Section 1113]	set-usides and tocations.		
	Resources:	☐ A. Homeless students (<i>required</i>		
	Title I, Part A & LAP Program	for all LEAs).		
	Guide See page 33.	☐ B. Parent Engagement (1%, if		
	See page 33.	allocation over \$500,000).		
	Title I, Part A: A Fiscal Handbook.	☐ C. Evidence that unspent <i>prior</i>		
	Title I, Falt A. A Fiscal Hallabook.	school year LEA and/or school		
	Risk Level: 2			
	RISK Level: 2	Parent Engagement set-aside		
		(required for LEAs with an		
		allocation of \$500,000 or more)		
		was carried forward and added		
		to the <i>current year</i> budget for		
		Parent Engagement.		
		☐ D. Private schools (if		
		applicable).		
		☐ E. Neglected & Delinquent		
		students (if applicable).		
1.3	Supplement, Not Supplant	LEA Level	□ Compliant	
	Methodology	☐ A. Provide the written	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	Title I, Part A funds must	methodology or methodologies	□ Evidence Needed	
	supplement, and not supplant, the	for the LEA's distribution of state	□ Noncompliant	
	funds that would, in the absence	and local funds to each school	□ N/A	
	of Title I funds, be made available	(any facility with a building code)	□ N/A – Limited	
	from state and local sources.	in the LEA. The methodology		
		must include:		
	The LEA must demonstrate that	 How the LEA ensures that 		
	the methodology used to allocate	state and local resources are		
	state and local funds to each	not reduced based on Title I		
	school ensures that schools	status.		

		I	I	
	areas. It provides useful	 to families with children 		
	information about student	enrolled in the LEA, <i>and</i>		
	academic achievement at the	• to the larger community (e.g.		
	state and national level. There is	newsletter, website, email).		
	no LEA-specific data in this	☐ B. One of the following:		
	report.	1. Indicate in the comment		
		box that the LEA uses		
	Learn more about NAEP here:	OSPI's report card to		
	National Assessment of	satisfy this requirement;		
	Educational Progress (NAEP)	or		
		2. Copy of customized LEA		
	LEAs may use this link to share	report card information		
	NAEP assessment data with	provided to families.		
	families and the community:			
	NAEP Results	If LEA provides its own report		
		card, it must include:		
	Risk Level: 1	 State assessment data for 		
		LEA.		
		 Disaggregated Student 		
		Achievement Data on state		
		assessments.		
		 Participation rates on state 		
		assessments.		
		 NAEP assessment data or a 		
		link to the NAEP data		
		website.		
		Examples:		
		 Newsletter Notification with 		
		<u>Directions Example</u>		
1.5	One Percent Parent and Family	LEA Level	□ Compliant	
	Engagement Funds	☐ A. LEA total allocation is less	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	The LEA ensures parents have the	than \$500,000 – this is not	□ Evidence Needed	
	opportunity to be involved in	applicable.	□ Noncompliant	
	decisions regarding the one	☐ B. Evidence documenting	□ N/A	
	percent parent and family	parent input on decisions about	□ N/A – Limited	
	engagement funds (applies only	how funds will be used for parent		
	to LEAs that receive an allocation	and family engagement activities.		
	of \$500,000 or greater). [Section			
	1116(a)(3)]	Evidence must include all the		
		following:		
	Note: Under ESSA, the LEA may	 Dated meeting 		
	reserve 10% of its PFE funds for	announcement.		
	LEA activities and 90% for Title I,	 Dated and labeled agenda. 		

	D 14 / / 55 /		T	
	Part A schools. [Section	Sign-in sheets.		
	1116(a)(3)(C)]	 Detailed recommendations. 		
	Risk Level: 2	☐ C. Description of how LEA		
		incorporates parent input into		
		the LEA/school's use of funds for		
		I		
		parent and family engagement.		
		Example: One Percent Input		
1.6	Complaint Procedures	LEA Level	□ Compliant	
	The LEA disseminates free of	☐ A. Description of the LEA or	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	charge to parents of students, and	school's process to ensure OSPI's	□ Evidence Needed	
		•		
	to appropriate private school	federal program complaint	□ Noncompliant	
	officials or representatives,	procedures are distributed to	□ N/A	
	adequate information about the	parents of students in a Title I,	□ N/A – Limited	
	Title I, Part A written complaint	Part A school.		
	procedures for resolving issues of			
	violation(s) of a federal statute or	Examples:		
	regulation that applies to Title I,	 Complaint Procedures 		
	Part A programs.	•		
	rait A programs.	<u>Dissemination Description</u>		
		<u>Example</u>		
	Resources:	 Newsletter Notification 		
	Chapter 392-168 WAC Special	<u>Example</u>		
	Services Programs-Citizen			
	Complaint Procedures for Certain			
	Categorical Federal Programs:			
	<u>Citizen Complaint Webpage</u>			
	<u>cazen complaine webpage</u>			
	Title I Dant A Commisint			
	<u>Title I, Part A Complaint</u>			
	<u>Procedures handout</u>			
	Risk Level: 1			
1.7	Notification to Parents	LEA or Building Level	□ Compliant	
		☐ A. Dated copy of notification	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	Parents May Request Teacher	(e.g. handbook, newsletter, or	□ Evidence Needed	
	and Para Qualifications	letter) to parents that they may	□ Noncompliant	
	At the beginning of each school	request information regarding	□ N/A	
	year, the LEA notifies parents in	the professional qualifications of	□ N/A – Limited	
	all Title I, Part A served school	their student's teachers and	Z 14/70 Emilica	
	that they may request	paraeducators (must be provided		
	information regarding the	to parents/families at the		
	professional qualifications of the	beginning of school year).		
	student's classroom teachers and			
		Examples:		
	•		•	

	paraeducators. [Section 1112	• <u>Letter Example</u>		
	(e)(1)(A); 34 CFR 200.61(a)]	• Letter Example 2		
		Newsletter Example		
	Resources:			
	Notification to Parents Templates			
	- Average action to Fureing Temptates			
	Risk Level: 1			
1.8	School Parent Family	School Level	□ Compliant	
	Engagement Policy/Plan	Sellooi Level	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	 Plan Participation and 	PFE Policy/Plan &	☐ Evidence Needed	
	Dissemination	Participation	□ Noncompliant	
	Timely Information:	-	□ N/A	
	, ,	☐ A. Copy of current dated		
	Curriculum, Assessments,	school parent and family	□ N/A – Limited	
	Achievement Levels	engagement policy/plan with all		
	Title I Meeting	required elements.		
	Each Title I school must jointly	☐ B. Evidence of annual Title I,		
	develop with, and distribute to,	Part A meeting for parents of		
	parents and family members of	students to inform them about		
	participating children a written	their rights and about the Title I,		
	parent and family engagement	Part A program.		
	policy/plan, agreed on by such			
	parents, that shall describe the	Evidence must include all the		
	means for carrying out the	following:		
	requirements in the following	 Dated meeting notification, 		
	areas:	 Dated agendas with Title I 		
	1. Policy/Plan	Program items, such as		
	a. Conduct an annual meeting	information to parents about		
	to inform families about their	the school/LEA's curriculum,		
	Title I benefits and rights	required state/LEA		
	b. Provide timely information	assessments, and the		
	about curriculum, academic	achievement levels students		
	assessments, and measuring	must meet, etc.		
	student progress	Dated sign-in sheets.		
	c. Provide opportunities for			
	parents/families to give input	Examples:		
	in Schoolwide and Targeted	PFE Plan or Policy		
	Assistance program services.	Title I Meeting Evidence		
	[Section. 1116(b)]			
	1-72			
	Resources:			
	LEA and School Side-by-Side			
	Required Policy Components.			
	Risk Score: 2			

1.9	School-Parent Compact	Building Level	□ Compliant	
1.9		_	•	
	Shared responsibility between	☐ A. One copy of a current,	☐ Action Plan Approved☐ Evidence Needed	
	school, parent/family, and student	signed and dated school-parent		
	to support student success.	compact with all compact	□ Noncompliant	
	[Section 1116 (b)(c)(d)(e)] <i>The</i>	requirements.	□ N/A	
	School-Parent Compact is an		□ N/A – Limited	
	agreement outlining how:	B. Upload a brief description on		
	a. Parents/School/Student will	how and when the school staff		
	build and develop school-	goes over the school compact		
	home partnerships.	with the student and parent.		
	b. The school will provide high-			
	quality curriculum and	Example:		
	instruction in a supportive	 School Parent Compact 		
	and effective learning			
	environment.			
	c. Parents will support student			
	learning at home and in			
	school.			
	d. Teachers and parents			
	communicate on an on-			
	going basis through (at a			
	minimum):			
	i. Parent-teacher			
	conference in elementary			
	schools, at least;			
	ii. Frequent reports to			
	parents on their			
	children's progress.			
	iii. Regular two-way,			
	meaningful			
	communication, as well			
	as provide translation			
	and interpretation			
	services, if needed.			
	Resources:			
	School Parent Compact			
	<u>Information</u>			
	Click on the School Role tab and			
	scroll down to the School-Parent			
	Compact section.			
	Risk Level: 1			

1.10	Schoolwide Program	Building Level	□ Compliant	
1.10	• Each Title I, Part A schoolwide	☐ A. Copy of current, dated	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	school has a schoolwide plan	schoolwide plan that includes the	□ Evidence Needed	
		•		
	that contains the four (4)	required four (4) components	□ Noncompliant	
	component requirements and	below:	□ N/A	
	evidence the plan has been		□ N/A – Limited	
	implemented. [Section 1114(1);			
	34 CFR 200.25]	Required Components:		
	 Only allowable costs may be 	 Needs Assessment 		
	charged to program(s).	 Schoolwide Reform 		
	[Section 1114]	Strategies		
		Activities to Ensure		
	Resources:	Mastery		
	OSPI Schoolwide Programs and	Coordination and		
	<u>Templates</u>	Integration.		
		This component <i>must</i>		
	Title I, Part A & LAP Program	include the matrix or table		
	Guide, See page 13	showing the programs,		
	duide, see page 15	amounts of funding for		
	Title I, Part A: A Fiscal Handbook	_		
	Tille I, Part A. A Fiscal Handbook	each program, and intent		
		and purpose of the		
	Risk Level: 3	funding.		
		Matrix template		
		Examples:		
		 SWP Plan Do Study Adjust 		
		<u>Plan Example 1</u>		
		<u>SWP Plan Do Study Adjust</u>		
		Plan Example 2		
		SWP Consolidated Plan		
		Example 3		
		SWP Consolidated Plan		
		Example 4		
1.11	Schoolwide Program	Building Level	□ Compliant	
	Evaluation	☐ A. The LEA uses the optional	☐ Action Plan Approved	
1	The schoolwide program is	Plan, Do, Study, Adjust format	□ Evidence Needed	
	evaluated annually-and revised as	and includes the evaluation in	□ Noncompliant	
1	necessary. [Section 1114(b)(3);	the Adjust section, or	□ N/A	
		_		
1	CFR 200.26(c)]	☐ B. A description of the annual	□ N/A – Limited	
1	E the sector than	evaluation that includes the		
1	Evidence for the most recent	following elements:		
1	program evaluation (prior or	Name of the Title I, Part A		
	current school year)	school.		

	Risk Level: 2	Date of the most current		
	MISK LEVEL. Z	Title I, Part A program		
		evaluation.		
		 Identification of how and 		
		who is involved in the		
		process.		
		 Review of strategies and best 		
		practices that impacted		
		student achievement.		
		Evaluation data that		
		supports the program		
		effectiveness and a summary		
		of the findings.		
		Probable changes to the		
		program based on the		
		evaluation.		
		Examples:		
		• <u>SWP Evaluation Example 1</u>		
		• <u>SWP Evaluation Example 2</u>		
1.12	Targeted Assistance Program	Building Level	□ Compliant	
	and Identification of Students	☐ A. Principal and/or staff	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	Each targeted assistance program	provide written description of	□ Evidence Needed	
	meets the following criteria.	the targeted assistance program	□ Noncompliant	
	[Section 1115 (b)(2)(A)-(G)]	that includes the required 6	□ N/A	
		elements below. <i>If the school</i>	□ N/A – Limited	
	Each targeted assistance model	used the OSPI targeted assistance		
	shall identify eligible children for	template or other plan, please		
	services through the rank order	upload a copy.		
	list. [Section 1112(b)(9); Sec 1115]	apteau a copy.		
	tist. [Section 1112(b)(5)], Sec 1115]	Required Components:		
	Resources:	1. Comprehensive Needs		
	OSPI Targeted Assistance	Assessments		
	<u>Programs and Templates</u>	2. Identification of Students		
	Biolo Lovels 2	3. Practices and Strategies		
	Risk Level: 2	4. Coordination and Transition		
		5. Parent and Family		
		Engagement		
		6. Professional Development		
		☐ B. Current, dated rank-order		
		student list (based on multiple,		
		education-related objective		
		criteria) for each targeted		

		assistance school being		
		monitored.		
		☐ C. Evidence that the targeted		
		assistance program has entrance		
		and exit procedures.		
		Examples:		
		• TAS Plan 1		
		• TAS Plan 2		
		Rank Order and Entrance Exit		
		Procedures		
1.13	Targeted Assistance Program	Building Level	□ Compliant	
1.15	Evaluation	☐ A. The LEA uses the optional	☐ Action Plan Approved	
	The targeted assistance program	Plan, Do, Study, Adjust format	☐ Evidence Needed	
		and includes the evaluation in		
	shall be reviewed on an ongoing		□ Noncompliant □ N/A	
	basis. [Section 1115(b)(2)(G)(iii)]	the <i>Adjust</i> section, <i>or</i>		
	F . 1	☐ B. A description of the annual	□ N/A – Limited	
	Evidence for the most recent	evaluation that includes the		
	program evaluation (prior or	following elements:		
	current school year)	Name of the Title I, Part A		
		school.		
	Risk Level: 2	 Date of the most current 		
		Title I, Part A program		
		evaluation.		
		 Identification of how and 		
		who is involved in the		
		process.		
		 Review of strategies and best 		
		practices that impacted		
		student achievement.		
		Evaluation data that		
		supports the program		
		effectiveness and a summary		
		of the findings.		
		 Probable changes to the 		
		program based on the		
		evaluation.		
		evaluation.		
		Examples:		
		 TAS Evaluation Example 1 		
		 TAS Evaluation Example 2 		